STAT:5100 (22S:193) Statistical Inference I Week 8

Luke Tierney

University of Iowa

Fall 2015

Recap

- Background on integration
- Binomial distribution
- Negative binomial distribution
- Hypergeometric distribution

Poisson Distribution

• X has a Poisson distribution if it is discrete with $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and PMF

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}$$

for $x = 0, 1, \ldots$ and some $\lambda \geq 0$.

The MGF and cumulant generating function are

$$M(t) = \exp{\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\}}$$

$$K(t) = \lambda(e^t - 1)$$

• The mean and variance are

$$E[X] = \frac{d}{dt} \lambda (e^t - 1) \Big|_{t=0} = \lambda$$

$$Var(X) = \frac{d}{dt}^2 \lambda (e^t - 1) \Big|_{t=0} = \lambda$$

Some plots can be obtained with

```
x <- 0 : 10
plot(x, dpois(x, 0.5), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 1), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 3), type = "h", lwd = 3)
x <- 0 : 50
plot(x, dpois(x, 10), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 30), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 20), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 30), type = "h", lwd = 3)
plot(x, dpois(x, 30), type = "h", lwd = 3)</pre>
```

Poisson Process

The Poisson distribution often occurs in the context of a Poisson process: Suppose certain "events" occur at random points in time.



Examples

- Cars arriving at an intersection
- Requests arriving at a network server
- Atoms decaying

Suppose

- (i) Only finitely many events occur in a finite interval.
- (ii) Events occur one at a time.
- (iii) For any s, t the distribution of the number of events in (s, s + t] does not depend on s.
- (iv) For any two disjoint time intervals I_1 , I_2 the numbers of events in I_1 and I_2 are independent.

Then the process of events is called a Poisson process.

- The number of events in an interval of length t has a Poisson distribution with mean λt for some $\lambda > 0$.
- λ is the expected number of events in a period of unit length.
- λ is also called the *event rate* of the process.
- Poisson processes can be extended to model random point patterns in higher dimensions.

Example

- Suppose calls arrive at a switch at a rate of 75 per hour.
- What is the chance that more than 2 calls arrive in a particular one-minute interval?
- A Poisson process may be a reasonable model for the call process.
- We have

$$\lambda = 75$$
 calls/hour $t = 1/60$ hours $\lambda t = 5/4$ calls

• Therefore the number of calls in the one-minute interval has a Poisson distribution with mean 5/4.

Example (continued)

• The probability of more than two calls is therefore

$$\begin{split} P(\text{more than two calls}) &= 1 - f(0) - f(1) - f(2) \\ &= 1 - e^{-5/4} - \frac{5}{4}e^{-5/4} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^2 e^{-5/4} \\ &= 1 - \frac{97}{32}e^{-5/4} \\ &\approx 0.1315 \end{split}$$

- Let T_1 be the time of the first event in a Poisson process with rate λ .
- Then for t > 0

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(\text{no events in } [0, t]) = e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- So T_1 has an exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda$.
- If T_k is the time between the k-1-st and k-th event then T_k also has an exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda$.
- The times T_1, T_2, \ldots are mutually independent.

Uniform Distribution

• *X* is uniform on [*a*, *b*] if

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \le x \le b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have already seen

$$E[X] = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

$$Var(X) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$$

- Not often (but sometimes) useful as a model.
- Often useful as a test case.

• If $X \sim \text{Uniform}[a, b]$, then

$$Y = \frac{X - a}{b - a} \sim \mathsf{Uniform}[0, 1]$$

- The family of uniform distributions is an example of a location-scale family.
- Given a random variable Y with density f_Y one can define a family of distributions for constants a and b as

$$X = aY + b$$

with densities

$$f_X(x|a,b) = \frac{1}{a}f_Y\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right)$$

• For many standard parametric families one of the parameters is a location or a scale parameter.

Gamma Distribution

• X has a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha, \beta > 0$ if

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} dx$$

- The parameter β is a scale parameter.
- Some plots can be obtained with

```
plot(function(x) dgamma(x, 0.5), 0, 5)
plot(function(x) dgamma(x, 1), 0, 5)
plot(function(x) dgamma(x, 2), 0, 10)
plot(function(x) dgamma(x, 5), 0, 20)
plot(function(x) dgamma(x, 100), 0, 150)
```

• Some useful properties of $\Gamma(\alpha)$:

$$\Gamma(\alpha+1)=lpha\Gamma(lpha)$$
 for all $lpha>0$
$$\Gamma(1)=1$$

$$\Gamma\left(rac{1}{2}
ight)=\sqrt{\pi}$$

• It follows that for integers $n \ge 1$

$$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$$

• As a result,

$$\binom{n}{x} = \frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(n-x+1)}$$

• This can be useful computationally and can also be used to generalize the negative binomial distribution to non-integer *r*.

We have already seen:

$$egin{aligned} E[X] &= lpha eta \ ext{Var}(X) &= lpha eta^2 \ M(t) &= egin{cases} \left(rac{1}{1-eta t}
ight)^lpha & t < 1/eta \ \infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- For general α the CDF cannot be computed in closed form.
- But the CDF can be computed in terms of the incomplete gamma function

$$\Gamma(\alpha,t) = \int_0^t x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

Good algorithms for evaluating this are available.

Special cases:

$$lpha=1, eta=1/\lambda$$
 Exponential distribution $lpha=p/2, eta=2$ χ_p^2 distribution $lpha=n, eta=1/\lambda$ Erlang- n distribution

• For the Poisson process, the time of the *n*-th event has an Erlang-*n* distribution.

- Let X be Erlang-n.
- Then

$$P(X > t) = P(\text{fewer than } n \text{ events by time } t)$$

= $P(N \le n - 1)$

with $N \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$.

Therefore

$$P(X > t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- This can also be shown using integration by parts.
- This is actually more useful in reverse:

$$P(N \le n - 1) = P(X > t)$$

• Since P(X > t) is easy to evaluate numerically, this allows a Poisson CDF to be computed without summing a long series.

Normal Distibution

• X has a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\sigma > 0$.

• If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

has a standard normal distribution.

- All normal probabilities can be found using standard normal ones.
- Again, closed form expressions do not exist, but good numerical approximations are available.

- Even showing that the standard normal density integrates to one is not easy.
- If we know that $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$, we can use the change of variables $Y = X^2$ to show this.
- But $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$ is usually derived from the normal distribution.
- A direct proof requires a "trick:"

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2/2} dz\right)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du$$
$$= \int \int e^{-(t^2 + u^2)/2} dt du$$

Change variables to polar coordinates:

$$t = r\cos\theta$$
$$u = r\sin\theta$$

for $0 < r, 0 < \theta < 2\pi$.

• The Jacobian is |r|, so

$$\int \int e^{-(t^2+u^2)/2} dt \, du = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-r^2/2} r d\theta \, dr$$
$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty r e^{-r^2/2} dr$$
$$= 2\pi.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2/2} dz = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Recap

- Poisson distribution
- Poisson process
- Uniform distribution
- Gamma distribution
- Normal distribution

• The MGF of a standard normal Z is

$$M_Z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{tz-z^2/2} dz$$

= $e^{t^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(z-t)^2/2} dz$
= $e^{t^2/2}$.

- This is finite for all t so all moments exist.
- By symmetry, the mean of a standard normal Z is

$$E[Z] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z e^{-z^2/2} dz = 0.$$

• Similarly, $E[Z^k] = 0$ for all positive odd integers k.

• The variance of a standard normal Z is

$$Var(Z) = E[Z^{2}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{2} e^{-z^{2}/2} dz = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -z \left(-z e^{-z^{2}/2}\right) dz$$
$$= -\frac{z}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^{2}/2} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^{2}/2} dz = 1$$

Even moments of the standard normal distribution are

$$E[Z^{n}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx$$
$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{2y})^{n-1} e^{-y} dy = \frac{2^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$$
$$= 1 \times 3 \times 5 \times \dots \times (n-1).$$

• For a general normal random variable $X \sim \sigma Z + \mu$,

$$E[X] = \mu$$
 $Var(X) = \sigma^2$
 $M_X = \exp\{t\mu + \sigma^2 t^2/2\}.$

The MGF result follows from a useful general property:

Theorem

If a and b are constants, then

$$M_{aX+b}(t) = e^{tb}M_X(ta)$$

Proof.

Using the definition of the MGF,

$$M_{aX+b}(t) = E \left[e^{t(aX+b)} \right]$$
$$= E \left[e^{taX} e^{tb} \right]$$
$$= e^{tb} E \left[e^{(ta)X} \right]$$
$$= e^{tb} M_X(ta)$$



- The normal distribution is very important as a model and as an approximation.
- For a Binomial(n, p) random variable X, if n is large then X is approximately

$$N(np, np(1-p))$$

- Similar results hold for
 - hypergeometric
 - Poisson
 - gamma
 - negative binomial

Beta Distribution

• X has a Beta (α, β) distribution if it has density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} & \text{for } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for some positive constants α and β .

- The Beta(1,1) distribution is the uniform distribution on [0,1].
- Some plots are produced by

```
plot(function(x) dbeta(x, .5, .5), 0, 1) plot(function(x) dbeta(x, 1, 1), 0, 1) plot(function(x) dbeta(x, 2, 2), 0, 1) plot(function(x) dbeta(x, 5, 5), 0, 1)
```

• The normalizing constant of the Beta distribution is the Beta function

$$B(\alpha,\beta) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$$

for $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

• The incomplete Beta function is

$$B(x;\alpha,\beta) = \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$.

 The CDF of the Beta distribution is the regularized incomplete Beta function

$$F(x) = \frac{B(x; \alpha, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$.

• Good numerical algorithms are available for computing these.

• The mean of a Beta (α, β) distribution is

$$E[X] = \int_0^1 x \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha - 1} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1} dx$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1} dx$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

• Similar calculations for $E[X^2]$ produce

$$Var(X) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}.$$

• The MGF is not useful.

Other Continuous Distributions

I will skip:

- Cauchy
- Lognormal
- Double exponential

You should read about these on your own.

Parametric Families

- In developing models for data, it is often useful to fix some basic characteristics of a model but to allow other features to be adjusted to fit the problem.
- Parametric families are collections of distributions/PMFs/PDFs

$$\{f(x|\theta):\theta\in\Theta\}$$

where a particular distribution corresponds to a particular choice of the parameter θ .

- Two useful approaches involve constructing
 - location-scale families
 - exponential families

Location-Scale Families

One very natural way to construct a parametric family:

• Start with a "standard" variable

$$X \sim f$$

Then define

$$Y = X + a$$
 for some a : a location family $Y = bX$ for some $b > 0$: a scale family $Y = bX + a$ for some a, b : a location-scale family

This produces families of densities:

$$g(y|a)=f(y-a)$$
 for some a : location family
$$g(y|b)=\frac{1}{b}f(y/b)$$
 for some $b>0$: scale family
$$g(y|a,b)=\frac{1}{b}f\left(\frac{y-a}{b}\right)$$
 for some a,b : location-scale family

Examples

- $f \sim \text{Uniform}[0,1], g \sim \text{Uniform}[a, a + b].$
- $f \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$, $g \sim \text{Exponential}(1/b)$.
- Location-scale produces a shifted exponential.
- $f \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, 1), \ g(y|\beta) \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta).$
- $f \sim N(0,1)$, $g(y|\mu,\sigma) \sim N(\mu,\sigma^2)$.

Adding covariates

 The location parameter in a location-scale family can be related to covariate values, e.g.

$$\mathbf{a} = \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \beta_p \mathbf{x}_p = \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- This leads to a regression model with errors from the scale family based on f.
- Non-linear or semiparametric forms are also possible.
- For lifetime data and a distribution f on the positive half line, the scale parameter is sometimes modeled as

$$\log b = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p = x^T \beta$$

- The resulting model is an accelerated failure time or AFT model.
- A similar formulation can be used to relate the spread around a regression line to covariates.
- Again nonlinear and semiparametric forms are possible.

- Location-scale families are natural from a modeling point of view.
- Sometimes transforming first helps (e.g. taking logs)
- It is possible to construct families with parameters for
 - location
 - scale
 - skewness
 - kurtosis
- The Pearson families are one approach.
- Unfortunately, location-scale models don't always end up being very easy to work with.
- Location and scale families are also of little use for discrete data.

Recap

- Normal distribution
- Beta distributions
- Location-scale families

Exponential Families

Another approach is to create a family of models that is an exponential family.

Definition

A family $\{f(x|\theta):\theta\in\Theta\}$ of PDF's or PMF's is an *exponential family* if you can write it as

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) t_i(x) \right\} c(\theta)$$

for some k and some functions h, c, w_i and t_i .

- The functions h and t_i must only depend on x.
- The functions c and w_i must only depend on θ .
- f must be defined for all of \mathbb{R} with this expression.
- Any range restrictions must be incorporated in h.
- This implies that the range of X cannot depend on θ .
- Often we are a bit sloppy about this.
- Many of the families we have seen are exponential families.

Fall 2015

Example

- Suppose $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$, with $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.
- Then $\theta = p$, and

$$f(x|p) = \binom{n}{x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x} = \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{x} (1-p)^{n}$$
$$= \binom{n}{x} \exp\{w(p)x\}c(p)$$

So

$$h(x) = \binom{n}{x} 1_{\{0,\dots,n\}}(x)$$

$$w(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$$

$$t(x) = x$$

$$c(p) = (1-p)^n$$

Examples

 $X \sim \text{negative binomial}, \ \theta = p, \ x = 0, 1, \dots$

$$f_X(x) = \binom{r+x-1}{x} p^r (1-p)^x \quad \text{for } x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$= \binom{r+x-1}{x} p^r (1-p)^x 1_{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}(x)$$

$$= \underbrace{\binom{r+x-1}{x}}_{h(x)} 1_{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}(x) \underbrace{p^r}_{c(p)} \exp\{\underbrace{x}_{t(x)} \underbrace{\log(1-p)}_{w(p)}\}$$

Examples (continued)

 $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta), \ \theta = \beta, \ \alpha \text{ fixed.}$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x)$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\beta^{\alpha}}_{c(\beta)} \underbrace{x^{\alpha-1} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x)}_{h(x)} \exp\{\underbrace{-x}_{t(x)} \underbrace{1/\beta}_{w(\beta)}\}$$

This is also an exponential family for $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Examples (continued)

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \ \theta = (\mu, \sigma^2).$$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}}_{c(\theta)} e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\underbrace{-\frac{x^2}{2}}_{t_1(x)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{w_1(\theta)}}_{w_1(\theta)} + \underbrace{\underbrace{x}_{t_2(x)} \underbrace{\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}}_{w_2(\theta)}}_{w_2(\theta)}\right\} \times \underbrace{1}_{h(x)}$$

Sometimes we write an exponential family as

$$f(x|\eta) = h(x)c^*(\eta) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i t_i(x)\right\}$$

- The η_i are called the *natural parameters*.
- The set

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i t_i(x) \right\} dx < \infty \right\}$$

is called the natural parameter space of the family.

The mapping

$$(w_1(\theta),\ldots,w_k(\theta)):\Theta\to\mathcal{N}$$

is a mapping into the natural parameter space.

- Sometimes this mapping is one-to-one and onto.
- Sometimes it may be lower-dimensional.
- For example, $N(\theta, \theta^2)$ is a lower-dimensional mapping.
- This is called a curved exponential family.

Adding covariates

- Exponential families are often used as the basis for regression models.
- One (or more) of the parameters is written as a function of covariates.
- · For binomial data, a common staring point is

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p = x^T \beta$$

• For Poisson data, a common approach is

$$\log \lambda = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p = x^T \beta$$

• These are examples of generalized linear models

- Exponential family structure has a number of advantages:
 - Some expectations can be computed by differentiation.
 - Sufficient statistics are easily identified.
 - Likelihood functions have many nice properties.
- When designing a new model for a complex situation it is a good idea to try to create an exponential family.
- Examples of situations where exponential families have been used are:
 - Models for the distribution of points in the plane.
 - Models for phylogenetic trees in pedigree analysis.
 - Models for social networks in network data analysis.

Multiple Random Variables

- Often we record more than one measurement for an experiment.
 - Roll three dice, record X_1, X_2, X_3
 - Select person at random, record height H and weight W.
- Each is a random variable a (measurable) real-valued function on a sample space.
- Since each individual variable of X_1, \ldots, X_n is a random variable we can study it as before.

- We only need to consider two random variables to see some new issues in looking at several variables jointly.
- We might want to compute

$$P(H > 6', W < 100 lbs)$$

 $P(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 8)$

• In general, we might want to compute

$$P((X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in A)$$

for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (a Borel set).

Multiple Discrete Random Variables

- Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are all discrete.
- Then (X_1, \ldots, X_n) has finitely or countably many values.
- The *joint PMF* of X_1, \ldots, X_n is defined as

$$f(x_1,...,x_n) = P(X_1 = x_1,...,X_n = x_n)$$

We can use the joint PMF to find any probability,

$$P((X_1,...,X_n) \in A) = \sum_{(x_1,...,x_n) \in A} f(x_1,...,x_n)$$

• The joint PMF must satisfy

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\geq 0$$
$$\sum f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$$

• Any function with these two properties is a joint PMF.